General Notes

Issuance of tenders	All tenders issued must contain a summary of the evaluation criteria and weighting to be used. The Accountable Officer for the tender must ensure that all mandatory evaluation criteria are covered in the tender specification/information requirements									
Yellow Cells	Yellow cells are <i>not to be changed</i> as they contain calculation formulae and/or mandatory information									
Blue cells	Blue cells are for the evaluation team to fill in. Supplier names should be inserted prior to circulation to the full team to ensure continuity									
Weighting	The weighting of sections 1&2 can be amended, however; Section 3 weighting of 20% is mandatory									
Amendments	Amendments to the criteria (except yellow areas) are permissible according to the tender requirements, but must be authorised in advance by the OPMP									

Section / Scoring

n.b. In some cases multiple bidders can achieve the same score where they have submitted the same level of evidence.

1.1	Award a maximum of 5 points to each bid based on their technical knowledge and understanding of the tender requirements									
1.2	5 = more than one project at a similar level, 3 = one project at a similar level, 0 = no projects at a similar level. NB this should not be based soley on Govt . experience.									
1.3	as 1.2									
	5 = can complete in less time than expected, 4 = yes, with no caveats, 3 = yes, with acceptable caveats, 2 = yes, but with unacceptable caveats, 1 = no, but within a reasonable timescale, 0 = no									
1.5	5 = yes, 0= no									
	5 = no history of any accidents (major or minor) 3 = no history of major accidents, 1 = no history of major accidents in over 2 years, 0 = no evidence of a track record H&S									
	5 = more than one positive referee submitted and checked, 3 = one positive referee submitted and checked, 0= no referees in submission / negative responses from referees									
	5 = equal to or within 15% of estimate, 4 = between 16% to 30% (over or under) of estimate, 3 = between 30% to 40% (over or under) of estimate, 2 = between 40% and 50% (over or under) of estimate, 0 = over 50% more or less than estimate									
3.1	Respondent's points = % Bermudians divide by the Highest % Bermudians times Weighting (5).									
3.2	5 = substantive evidence that apprenticeships/training positions in place, 3 = some evidence of apprentiships/training in place, 0 = no evidence of apprenticeships/training in place									
3.3	5 = yes, 0= no									
3.4	5 = graduated from Incubator, 3 = other business skils training evident, 0 = no business skills training evident									

Tender Ref: BLDC Water and Wastewater Program; Trenching Contractor Pre-Qualifications; St. George's Parish

***			_					ORING (0 to 5) - ple	agea caa notoo 9	oring tab					
ITEM	CRITERIA	WEIGHTING	Respondent 1	Respondent 2	Respondent 3	Respondent 4					Respondent 9	Respondent 10	Respondent 11	Respondent 12	
1	Request for Qualification Scoring Criteria	50%	Supplier Name	Supplier Name	Supplier Name		Supplier Name		Supplier Name		Supplier Name	Supplier Name	Supplier Name	Supplier Name	Comment
1.1	Conformance with the required content specified in the RFQ.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1.2	Demonstrated sufficient experience and technical competence of the General Contractor and Subcontractors, considering the types of construction works required, the complexity of the project, the aggressive schedule and the strength and adequate experience of the key personnel who will be dedicated for the project.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1.3	Demonstrated sufficient experience and technical competence to install HDPE piping with fusion welded connections underwater as designed and specified (Tendering Package # 1)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1.4	Demonstrated sufficient experience and technical competence to install and commission packaged wastewater treatment systems (Tendering Package # 4)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1.5	Staff capacity, depth and ability to dedicate multiple crews to the works on a full time basis until the completion of the works at the end of 2020.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1.6	Successfully completed projects of similar scope, scale, complexity and schedule requirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1.7	Reference checks														
1.8	Ability to provide the equipment (owned or rented) necessary to execute the works on a full time basis until the completion of the works at the end of 2020.														
1.9	Ability to achieve the daily production rates that will be necessary to successfully complete the works by the end of 2020.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1T	Subtotal Score - Section 1	Max 35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Weighted score (Maximum 50%)		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
2	Financial Analysis	30%	Supplier Name	Supplier Name	Supplier Name	Supplier Name	Supplier Name	Supplier Name	Supplier Name						
2.1	Tender price (include all costs)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2T	Subotal Score - Section 2	Max 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Weighted score (Maximum 30%)		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
3	Social, Environmental & Economic criteria	20%	Supplier Name	Supplier Name	Supplier Name	Supplier Name	Supplier Name	Supplier Name	Supplier Name						
3.1	Percentage of workforce that are Bermudian		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3.2	Does the bidder offer evidence of providing apprenticeships/training positions or being willing to offer them?		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3.3	Does the bidder have an environmental policy in place?		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3.4	Has the bidder given evidence that they have participated in appropriate business skills training e.g. The BSBDC Construction Incubator?		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3T	Subtotal Score - Section 3	Max 20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Weighted score (Maximum 20%)		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Total (Sum of Weighted Score)	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	